

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth

Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2002

AUSTIN V. TASSE,

**

Petitioner,

**

vs.

**

CASE NO. SC01-1615

LOWER TRIBUNAL

WILLIAM NORMAN, ET AL.,

**

CASE NO. 94-32229-32

Respondents.

**

**

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Petitioner filed a petition for writ of prohibition. The petition is being treated as a petition for writ of mandamus and is hereby denied. See Turner v. Singletary, 623 So. 2d 537, 538 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993)(holding that entitlement to the extraordinary writ of mandamus requires a petitioner to show that he or she has a clear legal right to performance of the requested act, that the respondent has an indisputable legal duty to perform the act, and that no other adequate remedy exists).

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

TEST:



Thomas D. Hall
Clerk, Supreme Court

H

cc:

Mr. Austin V. Tasse

Hon. Thomas Jordan Walsh,
Jr., Judge

Hon. Diane M. Matousek, Clerk

Hon. Robert A. Butterworth