

POLITICAL COMPETITIVENESS REPORTS

State Senate Map Competitiveness: Four Race Average¹			
District Competitiveness²	2002 Benchmark Map	Legislature's Senate Map	Coalition's Senate Map
Safe Democratic	12	13	13
Lean Democratic	3	1	4
Lean Republican	8	5	6
Safe Republican	17	21	17

State Senate Map Competitiveness: Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections			
District Competitiveness	2002 Benchmark Map	Legislature's Senate Map	Coalition's Senate Map
Safe Democratic	12	13	14
Lean Democratic	7	3	6
Lean Republican	8	11	6
Safe Republican	13	13	14

¹ The races averaged are the 2006 Gubernatorial Election, the 2010 Gubernatorial Election, the 2004 Presidential Election, and the 2008 Presidential Election.

² Districts that perform within 4% of a partisan shift (in other words, those that fall between 46% and 54% Democratic performance) are defined as “lean” districts.

State House Map Competitiveness: Four Race Average			
District Competitiveness	2002 Benchmark Map	Legislature's House Map	Coalition's House Map
Safe Democratic	35	35	36
Lean Democratic	6	10	11
Lean Republican	25	19	19
Safe Republican	54	56	54

State House Map Competitiveness: Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections			
District Competitiveness	2002 Benchmark Map	Legislature's House Map	Coalition's House Map
Safe Democratic	39	38	43
Lean Democratic	12	16	14
Lean Republican	29	22	19
Safe Republican	40	44	44

**Legislature's State Senate Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness³**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
1	32.8%	31.4%	31.7%	32.4%	28.2%	33.3%
2	39.1%	39.0%	40.2%	41.0%	37.4%	37.3%
3	31.6%	31.3%	34.2%	33.0%	28.0%	30.1%
4	37.1%	34.7%	31.7%	38.5%	32.9%	35.7%
5	59.4%	57.8%	55.4%	62.7%	57.0%	56.1%
6	59.1%	55.3%	50.9%	55.1%	52.0%	63.2%
7	45.7%	44.0%	42.7%	45.3%	41.9%	46.1%
8	47.5%	46.9%	45.5%	45.5%	46.9%	49.5%
9	41.4%	40.5%	38.9%	41.0%	40.1%	41.8%
10	48.3%	44.7%	39.0%	46.0%	43.0%	50.7%
11	40.2%	39.5%	37.8%	39.4%	39.7%	41.0%
12	63.9%	58.3%	50.3%	65.5%	55.0%	62.3%
13	47.9%	43.6%	36.8%	46.0%	41.8%	49.8%
14	62.4%	56.4%	49.4%	59.9%	51.2%	64.9%

³ The values in these charts show the Democratic performance in the proposed 2012 districts for each of the identified races, and for particular averaged races.

**Legislature's State Senate Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
15	47.0%	44.6%	41.8%	46.8%	42.6%	47.1%
16	45.7%	43.3%	40.5%	44.2%	41.3%	47.2%
17	50.5%	48.4%	45.2%	48.9%	47.5%	52.1%
18	44.4%	43.9%	44.6%	43.6%	42.2%	45.2%
19	69.4%	65.8%	63.6%	65.6%	60.8%	73.1%
20	47.0%	46.3%	44.9%	45.9%	46.4%	48.2%
21	47.6%	44.0%	39.0%	48.3%	41.7%	47.0%
22	52.4%	49.9%	46.2%	52.3%	48.5%	52.5%
23	41.7%	39.6%	35.4%	38.9%	39.8%	44.5%
24	43.9%	42.1%	39.3%	43.1%	41.3%	44.7%
25	46.9%	45.7%	43.3%	45.6%	45.9%	48.2%
26	44.8%	43.4%	42.5%	44.1%	41.7%	45.5%
27	63.8%	64.8%	67.3%	62.3%	64.5%	65.2%
28	48.3%	46.5%	43.8%	47.0%	45.5%	49.5%
29	51.3%	51.7%	49.8%	51.3%	54.3%	51.4%
30	37.6%	35.6%	31.4%	34.6%	36.0%	40.6%
31	66.2%	65.5%	65.0%	65.1%	64.6%	67.3%

**Legislature's State Senate Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
32	58.7%	58.6%	58.5%	58.4%	58.4%	59.1%
33	47.8%	45.4%	40.0%	49.8%	46.0%	45.8%
34	82.6%	79.7%	78.8%	79.9%	74.9%	85.2%
35	59.2%	58.1%	59.8%	57.7%	54.3%	60.6%
36	62.7%	62.9%	62.7%	62.6%	63.4%	62.8%
37	46.6%	44.2%	38.7%	49.8%	44.9%	43.5%
38	82.1%	79.4%	81.5%	77.6%	72.1%	86.6%
39	47.4%	45.3%	41.3%	50.1%	45.3%	44.6%
40	65.7%	64.2%	65.4%	61.0%	60.1%	70.4%

**Coalition's State Senate Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
1	57.7%	53.3%	48.1%	54.2%	49.5%	61.3%
2	34.9%	33.8%	35.9%	35.1%	29.7%	34.8%
3	31.3%	30.7%	32.4%	33.6%	27.6%	29.0%
4	29.0%	28.5%	29.8%	29.8%	26.3%	28.3%
5	35.9%	33.6%	30.7%	37.5%	31.9%	34.3%
6	60.2%	58.4%	55.6%	63.5%	57.5%	56.8%
7	51.2%	50.6%	48.7%	49.3%	51.1%	53.2%
8	39.6%	38.9%	38.1%	38.7%	38.3%	40.5%
9	60.4%	55.4%	48.0%	59.9%	52.6%	61.0%
10	44.2%	41.3%	37.7%	45.3%	39.4%	43.0%
11	49.5%	47.2%	44.1%	48.3%	45.9%	50.7%
12	46.8%	45.7%	44.3%	45.5%	45.1%	48.1%
13	53.1%	50.4%	45.9%	52.5%	49.5%	53.7%
14	53.0%	51.3%	49.2%	52.6%	49.7%	53.5%
15	41.7%	41.7%	40.2%	40.8%	43.1%	42.7%
16	52.3%	49.6%	47.2%	52.0%	46.4%	52.7%
17	48.8%	45.9%	42.8%	47.4%	43.3%	50.2%
18	67.8%	64.0%	60.7%	64.5%	59.4%	71.2%

**Coalition's State Senate Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
19	60.7%	55.0%	47.6%	58.4%	51.1%	63.0%
20	43.2%	40.8%	37.4%	41.3%	39.5%	45.0%
21	43.1%	41.6%	39.8%	41.8%	40.6%	44.3%
22	50.4%	46.1%	39.4%	49.4%	44.1%	51.5%
23	41.9%	39.8%	35.8%	39.5%	39.7%	44.3%
24	44.6%	43.0%	41.6%	43.3%	41.2%	45.9%
25	62.7%	63.2%	64.7%	61.8%	62.5%	63.7%
26	43.5%	42.9%	42.4%	42.7%	41.9%	44.4%
27	47.9%	46.1%	43.3%	46.9%	45.4%	49.0%
28	49.7%	48.5%	46.1%	48.5%	48.3%	50.9%
29	81.1%	78.2%	76.9%	79.2%	73.7%	83.0%
30	59.4%	59.1%	57.9%	58.4%	59.5%	60.4%
31	57.1%	57.7%	57.5%	55.5%	59.3%	58.6%
32	59.5%	59.7%	59.7%	58.6%	60.2%	60.3%
33	77.8%	76.0%	79.1%	71.8%	69.4%	83.8%
34	74.9%	72.8%	73.5%	72.7%	67.8%	77.2%
35	61.7%	61.8%	61.3%	61.4%	62.7%	61.9%
36	56.8%	55.2%	55.2%	56.5%	52.0%	57.1%

**Coalition's State Senate Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
37	37.4%	35.4%	31.0%	34.1%	35.9%	40.7%
38	44.3%	41.9%	35.7%	47.5%	43.3%	41.0%
39	47.7%	45.9%	42.0%	49.7%	46.4%	45.7%
40	50.0%	47.4%	42.4%	51.9%	47.2%	48.1%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness⁴**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
1	37.0%	35.8%	37.6%	37.2%	31.4%	36.9%
2	42.1%	40.2%	40.9%	42.1%	35.6%	42.2%
3	25.0%	24.9%	28.3%	25.5%	21.4%	24.6%
4	27.0%	24.5%	22.1%	25.8%	22.0%	28.2%
5	31.4%	32.3%	36.5%	34.9%	29.7%	27.9%
6	30.2%	30.3%	32.2%	30.5%	28.5%	29.9%
7	42.9%	43.8%	47.7%	48.6%	41.7%	37.3%
8	75.4%	73.3%	70.7%	74.4%	71.5%	76.5%
9	58.9%	56.2%	51.1%	64.5%	55.8%	53.4%
10	34.5%	34.4%	37.5%	38.3%	31.2%	30.6%
11	33.5%	32.0%	30.7%	34.7%	30.4%	32.3%
12	40.6%	37.3%	32.8%	41.3%	35.2%	39.8%
13	61.8%	57.6%	51.6%	56.4%	55.1%	67.3%

⁴ The values in these charts show the Democratic performance in the proposed 2012 districts for each of the identified races, and for particular averaged races.

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
14	61.8%	57.5%	53.6%	57.8%	53.0%	65.8%
15	43.1%	39.4%	35.1%	43.8%	36.4%	42.5%
16	39.5%	36.6%	32.5%	40.8%	34.8%	38.1%
17	33.9%	32.0%	30.0%	34.3%	30.2%	33.4%
18	28.4%	26.1%	24.5%	28.1%	23.2%	28.7%
19	35.2%	34.9%	36.3%	36.6%	33.0%	33.7%
20	65.5%	63.0%	61.5%	63.6%	59.7%	67.4%
21	52.3%	50.9%	50.1%	54.2%	48.8%	50.4%
22	42.8%	42.2%	42.1%	42.7%	40.9%	43.0%
23	41.1%	40.0%	39.1%	40.9%	38.6%	41.3%
24	44.9%	44.5%	43.8%	42.9%	44.5%	46.9%
25	47.9%	48.2%	47.6%	45.4%	49.3%	50.4%
26	56.7%	55.4%	53.0%	56.1%	55.2%	57.2%
27	50.9%	49.4%	46.4%	49.0%	49.4%	52.7%
28	47.4%	43.3%	36.9%	46.8%	41.4%	48.0%
29	46.5%	42.3%	35.7%	44.9%	40.3%	48.1%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
30	51.4%	46.9%	39.7%	50.6%	45.0%	52.3%
31	43.7%	41.1%	36.6%	42.3%	40.3%	45.1%
32	44.2%	41.1%	36.6%	42.9%	39.3%	45.5%
33	36.2%	36.2%	34.3%	35.5%	38.2%	36.9%
34	42.1%	41.9%	40.8%	42.0%	42.8%	42.2%
35	47.2%	46.6%	45.3%	45.9%	46.8%	48.5%
36	51.2%	50.0%	48.6%	49.0%	48.9%	53.3%
37	44.6%	43.6%	42.2%	43.8%	42.8%	45.5%
38	45.0%	43.6%	41.6%	44.2%	42.9%	45.7%
39	43.3%	41.6%	39.8%	41.4%	40.1%	45.2%
40	45.8%	43.3%	41.2%	45.2%	40.4%	46.5%
41	47.3%	45.2%	43.3%	45.8%	42.9%	48.8%
42	47.9%	44.7%	40.7%	47.0%	42.3%	48.8%
43	64.2%	57.9%	52.3%	58.6%	50.9%	69.9%
44	49.8%	45.9%	39.3%	44.9%	44.4%	54.8%
45	62.7%	57.5%	50.7%	63.7%	53.8%	61.8%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
46	74.8%	67.3%	57.4%	81.0%	62.2%	68.6%
47	54.0%	49.6%	43.2%	52.5%	47.3%	55.4%
48	63.8%	57.5%	49.0%	63.5%	53.4%	64.2%
49	57.9%	52.7%	45.0%	55.6%	50.0%	60.3%
50	47.5%	44.9%	42.0%	45.0%	42.7%	50.0%
51	44.6%	44.3%	45.6%	44.0%	42.6%	45.2%
52	41.6%	41.3%	42.4%	40.3%	39.7%	42.8%
53	47.0%	46.2%	46.5%	46.6%	44.2%	47.4%
54	41.9%	40.7%	38.3%	40.1%	40.8%	43.7%
55	40.3%	40.1%	41.0%	40.0%	38.7%	40.6%
56	43.4%	41.8%	41.7%	43.2%	38.8%	43.5%
57	44.7%	40.9%	35.5%	46.4%	39.0%	42.9%
58	47.9%	45.3%	42.0%	48.5%	43.3%	47.3%
59	49.5%	45.4%	40.0%	49.4%	42.8%	49.5%
60	49.7%	47.7%	46.3%	50.5%	45.1%	49.0%
61	74.7%	72.0%	73.1%	65.8%	65.4%	83.5%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
62	58.7%	55.6%	53.7%	55.0%	51.3%	62.3%
63	52.9%	49.1%	44.7%	52.0%	46.1%	53.8%
64	47.0%	44.3%	41.2%	47.4%	41.8%	46.5%
65	49.2%	47.2%	44.3%	47.6%	46.0%	50.7%
66	50.1%	48.1%	44.6%	48.3%	47.6%	51.9%
67	53.0%	50.7%	47.0%	51.7%	49.9%	54.4%
68	54.7%	51.2%	45.4%	54.9%	50.1%	54.4%
69	53.1%	50.6%	46.6%	52.5%	49.7%	53.6%
70	71.1%	66.8%	61.1%	74.0%	64.1%	68.2%
71	46.5%	45.0%	42.1%	45.3%	44.9%	47.7%
72	49.1%	47.1%	44.2%	48.2%	45.9%	50.0%
73	41.4%	39.4%	36.3%	40.7%	38.7%	42.0%
74	48.1%	46.2%	43.5%	46.5%	44.9%	49.6%
75	44.4%	43.1%	40.0%	42.5%	43.5%	46.3%
76	39.5%	38.0%	34.5%	35.3%	38.7%	43.7%
77	41.0%	38.8%	34.5%	37.9%	38.5%	44.1%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
78	44.0%	41.2%	36.0%	42.1%	40.8%	45.9%
79	43.2%	40.9%	37.2%	41.0%	39.8%	45.5%
80	38.3%	36.2%	32.8%	36.2%	35.4%	40.4%
81	62.3%	63.4%	65.7%	60.9%	63.1%	63.7%
82	44.5%	44.0%	42.4%	44.9%	44.7%	44.0%
83	49.9%	48.0%	44.7%	47.7%	47.4%	52.0%
84	54.3%	52.7%	49.4%	52.6%	52.9%	56.0%
85	52.5%	52.1%	51.0%	53.1%	52.3%	51.8%
86	60.3%	59.5%	59.2%	59.5%	58.3%	61.1%
87	62.9%	61.9%	60.3%	60.2%	61.4%	65.6%
88	77.8%	76.4%	76.2%	72.8%	73.9%	82.7%
89	52.6%	52.5%	50.5%	52.9%	54.3%	52.4%
90	63.6%	63.9%	65.2%	62.6%	63.2%	64.6%
91	63.9%	65.8%	69.4%	62.8%	66.1%	65.0%
92	72.1%	70.3%	68.4%	70.6%	68.6%	73.7%
93	49.2%	50.7%	48.7%	48.2%	55.6%	50.2%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
94	82.4%	79.0%	77.1%	81.6%	74.0%	83.2%
95	84.1%	80.0%	78.3%	83.6%	73.4%	84.6%
96	61.6%	61.6%	61.4%	60.5%	61.9%	62.6%
97	65.7%	64.9%	64.5%	64.9%	63.6%	66.6%
98	62.5%	62.7%	62.8%	62.2%	63.2%	62.7%
99	62.2%	62.5%	62.3%	62.0%	63.3%	62.4%
100	59.0%	61.0%	65.3%	57.5%	60.9%	60.4%
101	76.8%	73.9%	71.8%	76.4%	70.0%	77.2%
102	80.8%	78.2%	80.2%	77.5%	71.0%	84.0%
103	51.2%	48.6%	43.7%	52.9%	48.1%	49.5%
104	59.2%	59.3%	58.6%	60.0%	60.0%	58.5%
105	47.4%	44.9%	40.6%	49.1%	44.3%	45.6%
106	34.6%	33.0%	28.6%	31.6%	34.0%	37.7%
107	78.0%	76.2%	80.6%	71.7%	68.2%	84.3%
108	81.4%	79.2%	82.9%	73.7%	71.1%	89.2%
109	81.0%	79.4%	83.7%	72.9%	72.2%	89.0%

**Legislature's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
110	45.8%	43.3%	36.9%	48.3%	44.8%	43.3%
111	42.2%	39.0%	29.7%	45.9%	41.7%	38.5%
112	51.7%	49.1%	45.7%	52.8%	47.4%	50.6%
113	57.8%	56.0%	54.8%	55.9%	53.4%	59.8%
114	50.5%	48.7%	46.0%	52.9%	47.7%	48.1%
115	50.1%	48.9%	48.8%	52.7%	46.6%	47.4%
116	43.3%	41.1%	35.1%	48.1%	42.7%	38.5%
117	72.4%	70.9%	75.1%	65.7%	63.7%	79.1%
118	48.8%	46.8%	43.7%	50.9%	46.0%	46.7%
119	47.4%	45.4%	41.2%	50.0%	45.5%	44.9%
120	51.9%	50.9%	49.8%	50.8%	50.2%	53.0%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
1	62.4%	57.6%	52.3%	57.8%	53.1%	67.1%
2	46.7%	43.2%	38.3%	46.2%	41.0%	47.3%
3	63.6%	58.9%	54.0%	58.8%	54.4%	68.5%
4	47.5%	44.7%	45.1%	47.4%	38.9%	47.6%
5	25.1%	25.0%	28.4%	25.5%	21.3%	24.6%
6	31.4%	31.1%	33.3%	31.7%	28.1%	31.2%
7	29.5%	27.1%	25.5%	29.0%	23.9%	30.0%
8	30.8%	30.2%	32.6%	34.9%	26.8%	26.6%
9	33.9%	34.9%	39.4%	37.6%	32.3%	30.2%
10	33.8%	34.0%	37.1%	35.7%	31.3%	31.9%
11	26.3%	27.5%	31.2%	28.0%	26.2%	24.7%
12	27.1%	24.5%	22.1%	25.8%	22.0%	28.3%
13	37.8%	35.0%	31.1%	39.6%	33.3%	36.0%
14	39.9%	36.9%	33.0%	41.1%	35.0%	38.7%
15	30.3%	29.1%	28.4%	32.3%	27.3%	28.2%
16	64.9%	61.8%	56.5%	68.0%	61.0%	61.8%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
17	64.8%	63.5%	62.6%	66.5%	61.9%	63.2%
18	50.9%	50.2%	49.9%	56.2%	49.2%	45.6%
19	54.0%	53.3%	51.6%	52.4%	53.8%	55.5%
20	53.2%	51.1%	47.7%	52.2%	50.4%	54.3%
21	47.2%	47.5%	46.8%	44.4%	48.9%	50.0%
22	41.6%	41.5%	41.5%	40.9%	41.4%	42.3%
23	44.9%	44.5%	43.9%	42.8%	44.2%	47.0%
24	33.6%	31.8%	29.9%	33.8%	30.1%	33.3%
25	58.9%	53.7%	45.8%	56.6%	51.2%	61.2%
26	74.3%	67.8%	59.6%	79.9%	62.9%	68.7%
27	51.1%	46.8%	40.4%	47.9%	44.6%	54.3%
28	45.4%	43.0%	41.0%	44.6%	40.2%	46.2%
29	44.5%	41.6%	37.5%	46.3%	39.7%	42.8%
30	42.8%	39.7%	35.0%	45.1%	38.3%	40.4%
31	51.7%	49.5%	45.9%	49.9%	48.8%	53.6%
32	49.9%	47.8%	45.1%	48.8%	46.6%	50.9%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
33	47.1%	44.3%	41.0%	46.3%	42.2%	47.8%
34	51.2%	49.9%	48.4%	49.0%	48.9%	53.4%
35	45.7%	43.6%	41.2%	45.3%	41.8%	46.2%
36	43.7%	43.7%	43.1%	42.6%	44.1%	44.9%
37	52.3%	50.0%	45.8%	50.9%	49.5%	53.7%
38	56.5%	52.8%	47.1%	57.9%	51.1%	55.2%
39	50.5%	48.4%	44.7%	48.9%	47.9%	52.1%
40	66.6%	64.1%	62.4%	65.2%	60.7%	68.1%
41	51.4%	49.8%	47.6%	52.5%	48.6%	50.3%
42	42.5%	41.1%	39.9%	42.7%	39.5%	42.4%
43	47.4%	46.7%	45.2%	45.9%	46.9%	48.8%
44	36.7%	36.6%	34.5%	35.7%	38.6%	37.6%
45	41.9%	41.8%	40.8%	41.9%	42.6%	41.8%
46	49.7%	47.6%	46.2%	50.5%	45.0%	48.9%
47	58.9%	55.9%	54.3%	55.5%	51.5%	62.2%
48	50.0%	46.7%	43.4%	50.6%	43.5%	49.4%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
49	50.0%	47.4%	44.7%	48.6%	45.0%	51.3%
50	54.9%	49.9%	43.6%	52.5%	46.0%	57.3%
51	42.8%	41.3%	40.5%	42.3%	39.2%	43.3%
52	70.3%	65.8%	60.1%	72.8%	62.6%	67.8%
53	75.4%	72.9%	74.4%	65.8%	66.3%	85.0%
54	58.0%	53.6%	49.0%	54.8%	49.4%	61.2%
55	63.4%	57.5%	51.3%	60.1%	52.1%	66.7%
56	61.5%	55.4%	46.9%	60.1%	51.9%	62.9%
57	57.8%	52.5%	45.1%	55.5%	49.4%	60.0%
58	43.1%	41.4%	40.3%	41.0%	39.2%	45.3%
59	45.6%	42.0%	36.5%	41.8%	40.3%	49.5%
60	41.2%	39.4%	36.0%	41.2%	39.1%	41.2%
61	41.2%	40.2%	39.8%	40.4%	38.6%	42.1%
62	40.0%	38.1%	35.6%	39.3%	36.8%	40.8%
63	47.2%	45.7%	43.3%	45.2%	45.2%	49.3%
64	53.8%	49.5%	43.0%	52.5%	47.3%	55.1%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
65	49.5%	45.2%	38.1%	48.6%	43.8%	50.3%
66	47.7%	43.4%	36.9%	46.5%	41.1%	48.9%
67	40.5%	38.9%	35.3%	38.0%	39.4%	43.0%
68	44.9%	42.4%	37.6%	43.2%	42.1%	46.6%
69	40.8%	38.6%	34.8%	37.9%	38.1%	43.6%
70	43.8%	43.3%	44.4%	43.2%	41.5%	44.4%
71	43.2%	42.7%	43.0%	41.6%	41.3%	44.8%
72	47.1%	42.8%	36.3%	45.4%	40.7%	48.8%
73	57.5%	57.0%	57.3%	57.7%	55.9%	57.2%
74	67.3%	68.9%	72.1%	65.7%	69.0%	68.9%
75	62.5%	61.9%	61.2%	61.2%	61.5%	63.7%
76	41.3%	40.2%	37.6%	39.8%	40.5%	42.8%
77	43.7%	43.2%	43.8%	42.5%	41.7%	44.9%
78	46.1%	45.6%	46.4%	46.3%	43.8%	45.8%
79	47.9%	46.0%	43.3%	46.9%	44.8%	48.9%
80	50.3%	48.7%	46.0%	49.4%	48.0%	51.2%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
81	45.2%	43.5%	40.1%	43.9%	43.3%	46.6%
82	45.7%	45.4%	44.2%	45.8%	45.8%	45.7%
83	49.1%	47.2%	44.0%	47.4%	46.6%	50.7%
84	55.4%	53.8%	50.7%	53.4%	53.6%	57.5%
85	83.7%	80.6%	79.5%	82.7%	75.2%	84.7%
86	85.4%	80.9%	79.0%	84.9%	73.8%	85.9%
87	74.1%	72.9%	71.5%	70.4%	71.8%	77.8%
88	65.8%	65.5%	65.9%	63.7%	64.2%	68.0%
89	53.0%	53.1%	51.5%	53.6%	54.8%	52.5%
90	61.9%	60.9%	59.1%	59.5%	60.8%	64.2%
91	59.0%	59.2%	62.7%	56.9%	56.2%	61.1%
92	56.7%	57.7%	56.7%	56.1%	60.8%	57.3%
93	55.8%	56.7%	54.8%	53.6%	60.3%	58.0%
94	55.5%	56.5%	57.5%	55.4%	57.7%	55.6%
95	59.8%	60.0%	59.8%	58.3%	60.6%	61.3%
96	64.3%	63.5%	62.8%	63.4%	62.7%	65.1%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
97	72.1%	70.8%	72.2%	69.4%	66.8%	74.8%
98	80.0%	77.6%	81.2%	72.7%	69.4%	87.3%
99	81.0%	79.5%	84.0%	73.0%	72.0%	89.1%
100	62.7%	60.6%	59.4%	61.9%	57.6%	63.5%
101	78.4%	76.8%	78.4%	75.0%	71.9%	81.9%
102	81.5%	78.4%	78.9%	79.1%	72.0%	83.8%
103	64.9%	64.4%	63.8%	64.4%	64.1%	65.4%
104	56.3%	57.0%	56.6%	57.1%	58.6%	55.5%
105	63.9%	64.2%	63.7%	62.8%	65.4%	65.1%
106	71.2%	69.9%	74.0%	64.8%	63.3%	77.5%
107	53.3%	52.2%	53.3%	55.0%	48.8%	51.6%
108	50.1%	48.3%	45.9%	51.6%	47.0%	48.6%
109	41.7%	39.5%	35.1%	38.1%	39.4%	45.3%
110	35.8%	33.7%	29.1%	32.8%	34.2%	38.8%
111	35.7%	34.0%	29.9%	32.6%	34.8%	38.8%
112	48.2%	46.4%	42.2%	50.9%	47.1%	45.4%

**Coalition's State House Map
District-By-District Political Competitiveness**

District	Average of 2008 Presidential & 2010 Gubernatorial Elections	Four Race Average	2006 Gubernatorial Election	2010 Gubernatorial Election	2004 Presidential Election	2008 Presidential Election
113	42.1%	39.3%	31.1%	46.1%	41.9%	38.2%
114	41.3%	38.5%	31.6%	44.6%	40.0%	37.9%
115	49.3%	48.4%	46.4%	49.9%	48.5%	48.7%
116	55.5%	53.9%	53.6%	54.5%	51.1%	56.6%
117	39.0%	36.3%	27.7%	44.9%	39.5%	33.1%
118	42.1%	40.0%	33.2%	47.1%	42.4%	37.2%
119	47.0%	43.1%	33.6%	48.9%	44.9%	45.1%
120	59.4%	57.2%	56.4%	58.2%	53.6%	60.6%