

DISTRICT CONTINUITY REPORTS

**Legislature’s Senate Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis¹**

2002 District	Senator	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
1	Gibson	Dem.	No	6	70.33%
2	Evers	Rep.	No	3	82.56%
3	Dean	Rep.	No	2	70.09%
4	Gaetz	Rep.	No	1	86.06%
5	Wise	Rep.	Term-Limited	4	43.90%
6	Montford	Dem.	No	5	81.52%
7	Lynn	Rep.	Term-Limited	8	39.12%
8	Thrasher	Rep.	No	9	69.69%
9	Gardiner	Rep.	No	10	43.82%
10	Storms	Rep.	No	21	77.33%
11	Fasano	Rep.	Term-Limited	20	53.80%
12	Norman	Rep.	No	15	66.97%
13	Jones	Rep.	Term-Limited	17	50.88%
14	Oelrich	Rep.	No	7	59.11%
15	Dockery	Rep.	Term-Limited	16	53.31%
16	Latvala	Rep.	No	22	59.21%
17	Alexander	Rep.	Term-Limited	26	66.68%
18	Joyner	Dem.	No	19	76.70%

¹ This chart shows the degree of continuity between the Senate Districts drawn in 2002 (“2002 District”) and the Senate Districts drawn by the current Legislature. The continuity between 2002 districts and 2012 districts was determined by identifying the 2012 district that inherited the most population from a 2002 district. For each 2002 District, the chart shows the incumbent Senator, the Senator’s party affiliation, and whether or not the Senator is term-limited. The chart also shows the newly-drawn District (“2012 District”) that contains the highest percentage of the 2002 District. “Continuity” refers to the percentage of the 2002 District that is reproduced in the corresponding 2012 District. For instance, for 2002 District No. 4, the chart shows that the incumbent is Chairman Gaetz, a Republican who is not term-limited. It also shows that the 2012 District No. 1 is the new district that contains the highest percentage of 2002 Senate District No. 4, and that 86.06% of 2002 District No. 4 is reproduced in 2012 District No. 1.

**Legislature's Senate Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Senator	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
19	Siplin	Dem.	Term-Limited	12	48.95%
20	Hays	Rep.	No	11	45.17%
21	Bennett	Rep.	Term-Limited	24	59.15%
22	Simmons	Rep.	No	13	59.43%
23	Detert	Rep.	No	28	88.99%
24	Altman	Rep.	No	18	43.89%
25	Bogdanoff	Rep.	No	29	82.13%
26	Haridopolos	Rep.	Term-Limited	18	56.11%
27	Benacquisto	Rep.	No	23	55.15%
28	Negron	Rep.	No	25	68.32%
29	Smith	Dem.	No	34	79.42%
30	Sachs	Dem.	No	27	55.73%
31	Sobel	Dem.	No	36	70.07%
32	Ring	Dem.	No	31	82.57%
33	Braynon II	Dem.	No	38	49.52%
34	Rich	Dem.	Term-Limited	36	29.68%
35	Margolis	Dem.	No	35	64.12%
36	Diaz de la Portilla	Rep.	No	37	66.17%
37	Richter	Rep.	No	30	84.92%
38	Flores	Rep.	No	39	65.60%
39	Bullard	Dem.	Term-Limited	40	75.38%
40	Garcia	Rep.	No	33	84.56%

**Coalition's Senate Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Senator	Party	Term Limited	2012 District	Continuity
1	Gibson	Dem.	No	1	62.94%
2	Evers	Rep.	No	2	66.08%
3	Dean	Rep.	No	3	29.62%
4	Gaetz	Rep.	No	4	58.29%
5	Wise	Rep.	Term-Limited	5	36.71%
6	Montford	Dem.	No	6	84.25%
7	Lynn	Rep.	Term-Limited	7	51.67%
8	Thrasher	Rep.	No	5	55.28%
9	Gardiner	Rep.	No	9	54.97%
10	Storms	Rep.	No	10	70.15%
11	Fasano	Rep.	Term-Limited	12	43.27%
12	Norman	Rep.	No	16	53.95%
13	Jones	Rep.	Term-Limited	13	51.10%
14	Oelrich	Rep.	No	14	61.45%
15	Dockery	Rep.	Term-Limited	20	34.22%
16	Latvala	Rep.	No	13	42.96%
17	Alexander	Rep.	Term-Limited	17	49.35%
18	Joyner	Dem.	No	18	61.89%
19	Siplin	Dem.	Term-Limited	19	48.05%
20	Hays	Rep.	No	20	50.15%
21	Bennett	Rep.	Term-Limited	27	49.04%
22	Simmons	Rep.	No	22	68.18%
23	Detert	Rep.	No	27	50.69%
24	Altman	Rep.	No	24	65.72%
25	Bogdanoff	Rep.	No	31	33.28%
26	Haridopolos	Rep.	Term-Limited	26	58.16%
27	Benacquisto	Rep.	No	30	37.20%
28	Negron	Rep.	No	28	86.67%
29	Smith	Dem.	No	29	46.79%

**Coalition's Senate Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Senator	Party	Term Limited	2012 District	Continuity
30	Sachs	Dem.	No	25	41.42%
31	Sobel	Dem.	No	35	56.33%
32	Ring	Dem.	No	32	52.07%
33	Braynon II	Dem.	No	33	42.70%
34	Rich	Dem.	Term-Limited	35	40.02%
35	Margolis	Dem.	No	31	35.18%
36	Diaz de la Portilla	Rep.	No	40	60.41%
37	Richter	Rep.	No	37	59.17%
38	Flores	Rep.	No	36	44.21%
39	Bullard	Dem.	Term-Limited	36	35.73%
40	Garcia	Rep.	No	38	74.49%

Average Continuity In Senate Districts By Whether Incumbent Is Term-Limited²		
Type of District	Average Continuity in Legislature's Plan	Average Continuity in Coalition's Plan
Term-Limited	52.45%	45.21%
Non-Term-Limited	68.59%	55.40%
All Districts	64.15%	52.60%

² This chart compares the average (mean) continuity in the Legislature's 2012 Senate Plan and the Coalition's Proposed 2012 Senate Plan for three sets of Districts: (a) 2002 Districts with a term-limited incumbent; (b) 2002 Districts with a non-term-limited incumbent; and (c) all Districts.

**Legislature's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis³**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
1	Broxson	Rep.	No	3	66.12%
2	Ingram	Rep.	No	1	50.53%
3	Ford	Rep.	No	2	55.54%
4	Gaetz	Rep.	No	4	66.40%
5	Drake	Rep.	No	5	64.47%
6	Patronis	Rep.	No	6	80.50%
7	Coley	Rep.	No	5	35.53%
8	Williams	Dem.	No	8	84.41%
9	Rehwinkel Vasilinda	Dem.	No	9	81.38%
10	Bembry	Dem.	No	7	43.02%
11	Porter	Rep.	No	10	61.92%
12	Adkins	Rep.	No	11	47.22%
13	Davis	Rep.	No	15	68.92%
14	Jones	Dem.	No	14	59.06%
15	Fullwood	Dem.	No	13	57.33%
16	McBurney	Rep.	No	16	33.45%

³ This chart shows the degree of continuity between the House Districts drawn in 2002 (“2002 District”) and the House Districts drawn by the current Legislature. The continuity between 2002 districts and 2012 districts was determined by identifying the 2012 district that inherited the most population from a 2002 district. For each 2002 District, the chart shows the incumbent Representative, the Representative’s party affiliation, and whether or not the Representative is term-limited. The chart also shows the newly-drawn District (“2012 District”) that contains the highest percentage of the 2002 District. “Continuity” refers to the percentage of the 2002 District that is reproduced in the corresponding 2012 District. For instance, for 2002 District No. 1, the chart shows that the incumbent is Rep. Broxson, a Republican who is not term-limited. It also shows that the 2012 District No. 3 is the new district that contains the highest percentage of 2002 House District No. 1, and that 66.12% of 2002 District No. 1 is reproduced in 2012 District No. 3.

**Legislature's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
17	Ray	Rep.	No	12	56.82%
18	Renuart	Rep.	No	11	37.79%
19	Weinstein	Rep.	No	16	44.67%
20	Proctor	Rep.	Term-Limited	24	75.77%
21	Van Zant	Rep.	No	19	62.48%
22	Perry	Rep.	No	22	50.34%
23	Chestnut	Dem.	No	20	70.21%
24	Baxley	Rep.	No	23	78.62%
25	Metz	Rep.	No	31	61.13%
26	Costello	Rep.	No	27	32.61%
27	Taylor	Dem.	No	26	65.75%
28	Hukill	Rep.	Term-Limited	25	57.26%
29	Goodson	Rep.	No	54	31.20%
30	Workman	Rep.	No	53	53.20%
31	Tobia	Rep.	No	52	50.75%
32	Crisafulli	Rep.	No	51	56.81%
33	Brodeur	Rep.	No	28	69.43%
34	Dorworth	Rep.	No	29	38.68%
35	Cannon	Rep.	Term-Limited	49	70.90%
36	Randolph	Dem.	No	46	56.11%
37	Plakon	Rep.	No	30	44.85%
38	Nelson	Rep.	No	45	45.16%
39	Thompson	Dem.	No	45	43.43%
40	Eisnaugle	Rep.	No	47	48.67%
41	Precourt	Rep.	No	44	62.89%
42	O'Toole	Rep.	No	33	79.85%
43	Smith	Rep.	No	34	95.89%
44	Schenck	Rep.	No	35	94.83%
45	Corcoran	Rep.	No	65	36.63%
46	Legg	Rep.	Term-Limited	36	64.31%
47	Grant	Rep.	No	64	58.96%

**Legislature's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
48	Nehr	Rep.	No	65	59.43%
49	Soto	Dem.	No	48	80.15%
50	Hooper	Rep.	No	67	63.12%
51	Ahern	Rep.	No	66	46.79%
52	Brandes	Rep.	No	68	63.64%
53	Kriseman	Dem.	No	69	51.67%
54	Frishe	Rep.	No	66	49.31%
55	Rouson	Dem.	No	70	86.02%
56	Burgin	Rep.	No	59	69.21%
57	Young	Rep.	No	60	68.19%
58	Cruz	Dem.	No	62	58.33%
59	Reed	Dem.	No	61	68.95%
60	Harrison	Rep.	No	63	61.10%
61	Weatherford	Rep.	No	38	98.48%
62	Glorioso	Rep.	Term-Limited	58	56.07%
63	McKeel	Rep.	No	40	40.84%
64	Stargel	Rep.	No	39	55.88%
65	Wood	Rep.	No	41	76.78%
66	Albritton	Rep.	No	56	50.29%
67	Steube	Rep.	No	73	100.00%
68	Boyd	Rep.	No	71	80.40%
69	Pilon	Rep.	No	72	63.75%
70	Holder	Rep.	No	74	58.15%
71	Roberson	Rep.	No	75	63.01%
72	Kreegel	Rep.	Term-Limited	79	45.34%
73	Caldwell	Rep.	No	78	70.54%
74	Aubuchon	Rep.	No	77	94.71%
75	Williams	Rep.	Term-Limited	76	77.39%
76	Passidomo	Rep.	No	106	86.15%
77	Grimsley	Rep.	Term-Limited	55	63.79%
78	Perman	Dem.	No	81	45.09%

**Legislature's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
79	Horner	Rep.	No	42	64.32%
80	Mayfield	Rep.	No	54	67.07%
81	Harrell	Rep.	No	83	66.78%
82	Snyder	Rep.	No	82	76.87%
83	Rooney, Jr.	Rep.	No	85	82.41%
84	Bernard	Dem.	No	88	53.39%
85	Abruzzo	Dem.	No	86	46.74%
86	Berman	Dem.	No	91	32.75%
87	Hager	Rep.	No	89	60.35%
88	Pafford	Dem.	No	86	46.19%
89	Clemens	Dem.	No	87	48.49%
90	Slosberg	Dem.	No	91	38.94%
91	Moraitis, Jr.	Rep.	No	93	75.48%
92	Clarke-Reed	Dem.	No	92	55.59%
93	Thurston	Dem.	No	94	71.61%
94	Rogers	Dem.	No	95	70.70%
95	Waldman	Dem.	No	96	48.72%
96	Porth	Dem.	Term-Limited	97	67.31%
97	Kiar	Dem.	No	98	35.35%
98	Sands	Dem.	Term-Limited	98	47.45%
99	Schwartz	Dem.	No	101	43.67%
100	Jenne	Dem.	No	99	49.67%
101	Hudson	Rep.	No	80	59.50%
102	Gonzalez	Rep.	No	103	68.87%
103	Watson	Dem.	No	102	46.73%
104	Julien	Dem.	No	107	54.31%
105	Gibbons	Dem.	No	101	38.91%
106	Steinberg	Dem.	No	100	54.98%
107	Garcia	Dem.	No	113	50.44%
108	Campbell	Dem.	No	108	63.72%
109	Stafford	Dem.	No	109	55.93%

**Legislature's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
110	Oliva	Rep.	No	110	55.80%
111	Fresen	Rep.	No	111	38.28%
112	Nuñez	Rep.	No	105	40.85%
113	Lopez-Cantera	Rep.	Term-Limited	111	39.13%
114	Logan	Rep.	No	116	52.94%
115	Diaz	Rep.	No	115	49.57%
116	Trujillo	Rep.	No	119	38.35%
117	Bileca	Rep.	No	114	50.17%
118	Bullard	Dem.	No	117	73.69%
119	Artiles	Rep.	No	118	57.80%
120	Saunders	Dem.	No	120	60.64%

**Coalition's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
1	Broxson	Rep.	No	5	67.77%
2	Ingram	Rep.	No	6	48.41%
3	Ford	Rep.	No	4	59.42%
4	Gaetz	Rep.	No	12	65.53%
5	Drake	Rep.	No	11	51.85%
6	Patronis	Rep.	No	10	79.08%
7	Coley	Rep.	No	11	31.15%
8	Williams	Dem.	No	17	60.45%
9	Rehwinkel Vasilinda	Dem.	No	16	66.22%
10	Bembry	Dem.	No	9	46.36%
11	Porter	Rep.	No	9	48.93%
12	Adkins	Rep.	No	8	55.00%
13	Davis	Rep.	No	7	50.90%
14	Jones	Dem.	No	3	49.65%
15	Fullwood	Dem.	No	1	45.47%
16	McBurney	Rep.	No	2	50.03%
17	Ray	Rep.	No	14	35.52%
18	Renuart	Rep.	No	14	57.86%
19	Weinstein	Rep.	No	13	44.83%
20	Proctor	Rep.	Term-Limited	23	77.36%
21	Van Zant	Rep.	No	22	67.00%
22	Perry	Rep.	No	41	45.69%
23	Chestnut	Dem.	No	40	56.52%
24	Baxley	Rep.	No	42	67.20%
25	Metz	Rep.	No	60	72.68%
26	Costello	Rep.	No	20	59.05%
27	Taylor	Dem.	No	19	62.39%
28	Hukill	Rep.	Term-Limited	21	73.05%
29	Goodson	Rep.	No	70	31.90%
30	Workman	Rep.	No	76	41.71%

**Coalition's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
31	Tobia	Rep.	No	77	60.73%
32	Crisafulli	Rep.	No	71	59.24%
33	Brodeur	Rep.	No	72	81.66%
34	Dorworth	Rep.	No	66	39.91%
35	Cannon	Rep.	Term-Limited	57	60.48%
36	Randolph	Dem.	No	25	38.77%
37	Plakon	Rep.	No	65	58.36%
38	Nelson	Rep.	No	27	72.97%
39	Thompson	Dem.	No	26	71.78%
40	Eisnagle	Rep.	No	56	25.64%
41	Precourt	Rep.	No	59	59.20%
42	O'Toole	Rep.	No	44	85.29%
43	Smith	Rep.	No	45	92.58%
44	Schenck	Rep.	No	43	91.53%
45	Corcoran	Rep.	No	34	50.84%
46	Legg	Rep.	Term-Limited	35	48.50%
47	Grant	Rep.	No	33	42.37%
48	Nehr	Rep.	No	32	44.73%
49	Soto	Dem.	No	56	54.20%
50	Hooper	Rep.	No	31	59.80%
51	Ahern	Rep.	No	39	57.25%
52	Brandes	Rep.	No	38	58.09%
53	Kriseman	Dem.	No	37	42.53%
54	Frishe	Rep.	No	39	32.19%
55	Rouson	Dem.	No	52	63.12%
56	Burgin	Rep.	No	54	44.37%
57	Young	Rep.	No	46	65.83%
58	Cruz	Dem.	No	47	56.66%
59	Reed	Dem.	No	53	67.89%
60	Harrison	Rep.	No	48	63.38%

**Coalition's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
61	Weatherford	Rep.	No	36	98.05%
62	Glorioso	Rep.	Term-Limited	29	66.16%
63	McKeel	Rep.	No	28	51.36%
64	Stargel	Rep.	No	58	59.86%
65	Wood	Rep.	No	49	81.83%
66	Albritton	Rep.	No	51	59.25%
67	Steube	Rep.	No	62	67.09%
68	Boyd	Rep.	No	81	76.01%
69	Pilon	Rep.	No	80	63.03%
70	Holder	Rep.	No	79	85.63%
71	Roberson	Rep.	No	63	79.46%
72	Kreegel	Rep.	Term-Limited	67	41.68%
73	Caldwell	Rep.	No	68	56.87%
74	Aubuchon	Rep.	No	69	89.16%
75	Williams	Rep.	Term-Limited	110	38.37%
76	Passidomo	Rep.	No	111	67.56%
77	Grimsley	Rep.	Term-Limited	61	59.20%
78	Perman	Dem.	No	95	30.08%
79	Horner	Rep.	No	50	61.33%
80	Mayfield	Rep.	No	78	48.18%
81	Harrell	Rep.	No	83	61.14%
82	Snyder	Rep.	No	82	68.07%
83	Rooney, Jr.	Rep.	No	89	63.90%
84	Bernard	Dem.	No	87	55.78%
85	Abruzzo	Dem.	No	73	68.41%
86	Berman	Dem.	No	74	46.92%
87	Hager	Rep.	No	94	40.75%
88	Pafford	Dem.	No	88	72.61%
89	Clemens	Dem.	No	90	49.80%
90	Slosberg	Dem.	No	94	37.41%

**Coalition's House Map
District-By-District Continuity Analysis**

2002 District	Representative	Party	Term-Limited	2012 District	Continuity
91	Moraitis, Jr.	Rep.	No	92	39.05%
92	Clarke-Reed	Dem.	No	93	32.67%
93	Thurston	Dem.	No	85	39.37%
94	Rogers	Dem.	No	86	67.51%
95	Waldman	Dem.	No	95	34.18%
96	Porth	Dem.	Term-Limited	96	68.18%
97	Kiar	Dem.	No	104	68.89%
98	Sands	Dem.	Term-Limited	103	65.81%
99	Schwartz	Dem.	No	105	37.38%
100	Jenne	Dem.	No	105	45.16%
101	Hudson	Rep.	No	110	34.41%
102	Gonzalez	Rep.	No	112	56.96%
103	Watson	Dem.	No	101	35.74%
104	Julien	Dem.	No	97	33.27%
105	Gibbons	Dem.	No	101	35.16%
106	Steinberg	Dem.	No	91	69.92%
107	Garcia	Dem.	No	119	39.27%
108	Campbell	Dem.	No	98	53.55%
109	Stafford	Dem.	No	99	58.90%
110	Oliva	Rep.	No	100	30.06%
111	Fresen	Rep.	No	113	40.81%
112	Nuñez	Rep.	No	114	24.52%
113	Lopez-Cantera	Rep.	Term-Limited	119	40.15%
114	Logan	Rep.	No	117	32.32%
115	Diaz	Rep.	No	118	37.04%
116	Trujillo	Rep.	No	108	37.70%
117	Bileca	Rep.	No	118	35.65%
118	Bullard	Dem.	No	106	61.47%
119	Artiles	Rep.	No	116	43.46%
120	Saunders	Dem.	No	115	66.05%

Average Continuity In House Districts By Whether Incumbent Is Term-Limited⁴		
Type of District	Average Continuity in Legislature's Plan	Average Continuity in Coalition's Plan
Term-Limited	60.40%	58.00 %
Non-Term-Limited	59.10%	55.00 %
All Districts	59.20%	55.00%

⁴ This chart compares the average (mean) continuity in the Legislature's 2012 House Plan and the Coalition's Proposed 2012 House Plan for three sets of Districts: (a) 2002 Districts with a term-limited incumbent; (b) 2002 Districts with a non-term-limited incumbent; and (c) all Districts.