

DISTRICT CONTINUITY REPORTS

District Continuity Reports by Most Continuous Population

The charts below shows the degree of continuity between the Senate Districts drawn in 2002 (“2002 District”) and the S9030 and SPUBS0178 Senate Districts. The continuity between 2002 districts and districts in both S9030 and SPUBS0178 was determined by identifying the district in each plan that inherited the most population from a 2002 District. For purposes of determining district continuity, incumbents’ residences were not used. Most incumbents live in the new districts that contain the most continuous population of their old districts, however, some do not.

For each 2002 District, the charts show the incumbent Senator, the Senator’s party affiliation, and whether or not the Senator is term-limited. The charts then show which new district under each plan inherited the most population from each 2002 District. “Continuity” refers to the percentage of the 2002 District that is reproduced in the corresponding S9030 District. For instance, first chart shows that for 2002 District 1, the incumbent is Senator Gibson, a Democrat who is not term-limited. It also shows that the S9030 District 9 is the district that inherited the most population from 2002 District 1, and that 62.09% of 2002 District 1 is reproduced in S9030 District 9.

Legislature's S9030 Senate Plan					
District-By-District Continuity Analysis: Most Continuous Population					
2002 District	Senator	Party	Term-Limited	S9030 District	Continuity
1	Gibson	Dem.	No	9	62.09%
2	Evers	Rep.	No	2	66.34%
3	Dean	Rep.	No	5	70.09%
4	Gaetz	Rep.	No	1	57.81%
5	Wise	Rep.	Term-Limited	4	40.30%
6	Montford	Dem.	No	3	81.52%
7	Lynn	Rep.	Term-Limited	8	57.19%
8	Thrasher	Rep.	No	4	50.75%
9	Gardiner	Rep.	No	12	48.03%
10	Storms	Rep.	No	24	77.47%
11	Fasano	Rep.	Term-Limited	18	54.03%
12	Norman	Rep.	No	17	67.97%
13	Jones	Rep.	Term-Limited	20	51.35%
14	Oelrich	Rep.	No	7	58.18%
15	Dockery	Rep.	Term-Limited	15	55.54%
16	Latvala	Rep.	No	22	59.26%
17	Alexander	Rep.	Term-Limited	21	59.29%
18	Joyner	Dem.	No	19	76.62%
19	Siplin	Dem.	Term-Limited	12	48.19%
20	Hays	Rep.	No	11	74.43%
21	Bennett	Rep.	Term-Limited	26	64.02%
22	Simmons	Rep.	No	10	64.55%
23	Detert	Rep.	No	28	88.58%
24	Altman	Rep.	No	13	51.89%
25	Bogdanoff	Rep.	No	34	49.07%
26	Haridopolos	Rep.	Term-Limited	16	54.80%
27	Benacquisto	Rep.	No	27	40.56%
28	Negron	Rep.	No	32	72.17%
29	Smith	Dem.	No	31	47.36%

Legislature's S9030 Senate Plan					
District-By-District Continuity Analysis: Most Continuous Population					
2002 District	Senator	Party	Term-Limited	S9030 District	Continuity
30	Sachs	Dem.	No	34	39.33%
31	Sobel	Dem.	No	33	71.74%
32	Ring	Dem.	No	29	55.44%
33	Braynon II	Dem.	No	36	49.40%
34	Rich	Dem.	Term-Limited	29	34.03%
35	Margolis	Dem.	No	35	64.31%
36	Diaz de la Portilla	Rep.	No	40	66.38%
37	Richter	Rep.	No	23	59.79%
38	Flores	Rep.	No	37	65.85%
39	Bullard	Dem.	Term-Limited	39	74.77%
40	Garcia	Rep.	No	38	83.57%

Coalition's SPUBS0178 Senate Plan					
District-By-District Continuity Analysis: Most Continuous Population					
2002 District	Senator	Party	Term Limited	Coalition District	Continuity
1	Gibson	Dem.	No	9	62.40%
2	Evers	Rep.	No	2	66.36%
3	Dean	Rep.	No	5	70.09%
4	Gaetz	Rep.	No	1	57.81%
5	Wise	Rep.	Term-Limited	6	42.72%
6	Montford	Dem.	No	3	81.52%
7	Lynn	Rep.	Term-Limited	8	50.92%
8	Thrasher	Rep.	No	4	53.25%
9	Gardiner	Rep.	No	12	56.76%
10	Storms	Rep.	No	24	75.24%
11	Fasano	Rep.	Term-Limited	20	52.49%
12	Norman	Rep.	No	17	56.79%
13	Jones	Rep.	Term-Limited	22	51.55%
14	Oelrich	Rep.	No	7	68.95%
15	Dockery	Rep.	Term-Limited	15	59.59%
16	Latvala	Rep.	No	22	43.85%
17	Alexander	Rep.	Term-Limited	21	56.06%
18	Joyner	Dem.	No	19	71.71%
19	Siplin	Dem.	Term-Limited	14	49.27%
20	Hays	Rep.	No	11	66.82%
21	Bennett	Rep.	Term-Limited	26	62.79%
22	Simmons	Rep.	No	10	68.66%
23	Detert	Rep.	No	28	81.06%
24	Altman	Rep.	No	13	64.27%
25	Bogdanoff	Rep.	No	34	44.06%
26	Haridopolos	Rep.	Term-Limited	16	32.34%
27	Benacquisto	Rep.	No	27	39.26%
28	Negron	Rep.	No	32	89.53%
29	Smith	Dem.	No	31	47.40%

Coalition's SPUBS0178 Senate Plan					
District-By-District Continuity Analysis: Most Continuous Population					
2002 District	Senator	Party	Term Limited	Coalition District	Continuity
30	Sachs	Dem.	No	29	40.03%
31	Sobel	Dem.	No	33	39.97%
32	Ring	Dem.	No	29	54.27%
33	Braynon II	Dem.	No	36	37.04%
34	Rich	Dem.	Term-Limited	33	59.42%
35	Margolis	Dem.	No	35	45.36%
36	Diaz de la Portilla	Rep.	No	35	43.80%
37	Richter	Rep.	No	23	62.21%
38	Flores	Rep.	No	37	46.79%
39	Bullard	Dem.	Term-Limited	39	55.51%
40	Garcia	Rep.	No	38	83.60%

Average Continuity based on Most Continuous Population Whether Incumbent Is Term-Limited¹		
Type of District	Average Continuity in Legislature's S9030 Senate Plan	Average Continuity in Coalition's SPUBS0178 Senate Plan
Term-Limited	53.96%	52.06%
Non-Term-Limited	62.78%	59.30%
All Districts	60.35%	57.29%

¹ This chart compares the average (mean) continuity in the Legislature's S9030 Senate Plan and the Coalition's March 2012 Senate Plan for three sets of Districts: (a) 2002 Districts with a term-limited incumbent; (b) 2002 Districts with a non-term-limited incumbent; and (c) all Districts.